

Warden Al

ConverzAl NYC LL 144 Audit Report

Generated from

ConverzAI - AI Assurance Dashboard

October 30, 2024

Table of Contents

1. REPORT SUMMARY	<u>2</u>
2. ABOUT WARDEN AI	
2.1 Company summary 2.2 Independence statement 2.3 Company information	3 3 3
3. SYSTEM AND AUDIT DETAILS	
3.1 System tested 3.2 Audit details	<u>4</u> <u>4</u>
4. RESULTS	
4.1 Sex bias 4.2 Race/Ethnicity bias 4.3 Intersectional bias (Sex X Race/Ethnicity)	<u>5</u> <u>5</u> <u>6</u>
5. METHODOLOGY	
5.1 Methodology overview 5.2 Disparate impact analysis	<u>7</u> <u>8</u>
6. DISCLAIMER	<u>9</u>



Report Summary

Warden AI is engaged by ConverzAI to perform ongoing bias audits of ConverzAI's AI system. This bias audit report has been created by Warden AI's auditing platform and reviewed by the Warden AI team.

The report covers a subset of the overall audit that relates to the requirements of the NYC Local Law 144. The methods used meet the specific requirements for conducting a bias audit of automated employment decision tools (AEDT) as published in the final rules of the NYC Department of Consumer and Worker Protection (DCWP).

A Disparate Impact Analysis was conducted to assess potential adverse impact on protected groups, specifically by sex and race/ethnicity, in compliance with Local Law 144. The audit utilized historical data from real candidates who were processed by the AI system.

This bias audit is meant for demonstration purposes and does not indicate the bias audit results of ConverzAI's tools for any particular employer or job opportunity.

Audit information

System tested: ConverzAI - AI Candidate Matching

Audit frequency: Monthly

Latest audit date: October 30, 2024

Samples: 3,328





About Warden Al

Company summary

At Warden AI, our mission is to reduce societal discrimination through fair and transparent AI. We provide third-party oversight into AI systems, building trust and increasing adoption.

We are an independent AI auditor and assurance platform that performs ongoing audits to ensure AI systems are fair, explainable, and transparent. Our team brings extensive experience across AI, regulation, and research, including industry and academia, to deliver our solution.

Our system integrates with the AI system that is under test, allowing for continuous testing and monitoring. Our methodology employs a combination of bias detection techniques and uses our proprietary datasets and/or historical data from the system.

Independence statement

Warden AI Ltd is an independent AI audit and assurance provider. Fees associated with our service are solely for our evaluation and their payment is not related to the outcome of the results.

Our services are strictly limited to testing and monitoring the trustworthiness of AI systems. We do not form part of the solution or in any way affect how the system under test works.

The nature of our auditing methods are the same for all systems of the same use-case that we audit, and we do not customize our service for each system.

Company information

Registered address:

Warden Al Ltd, 71-75 Shelton Street, London WC2H 9JQ, United Kingdom

Registered company number:

15321282

Website:

https://warden-ai.com

Contact:

contact@warden-ai.com



System and Audit Details

System tested

Name:

ConverzAI - AI Candidate Matching

Description:

ConverzAI's AI Candidate Matching is part of their Candidate Engagement platform responsible for matching candidates to job roles, increasing the likelihood successful placements while reducing the risk of mismatches.

To assess a candidate's suitability for a job, the platform sends a set of job-related questions and the candidate's responses are used to calculate an overall score. The score reflects how well the candidate's skills, preferences, expectations, and other factors line up with the job details.

Inputs:

Job criteria

Candidate reponses

Outputs:

Score (0 to 100)

Audit details

Audit frequency	Monthly
Latest audit	October 30, 2024
Data	Historical data of candidate names and calculated matching scores
Integration	Bulk export of ConverzAl's historical data to Warden's platform
Techniques	Group bias: Disparate Impact Analysis
Disparate impact metric	Scoring rate which is calculated from the matching scores produced by the AI system



Results

Disparate impact calculated for:

Total records

Sex, Race/Ethnicity, and Intersectional (Sex X Race/Ethnicity)

3,328

Sex bias

Sex	# Applicants	# Selected	Selection Rate	Impact Ratio
Female	1387	679	49.0%	1.00
Male	1941	902	46.5%	0.95

Race/Ethnicity bias

Race/Ethnicity	# Applicants	# Selected	Selection rate	Impact ratio
Asian	612	275	44.9%	0.93
Black or African American	1153	560	48.6%	1.00
Hispanic or Latino	358	163	45.5%	0.94
White	1205	583	48.4%	1.00



Results

Intersectional bias (Sex X Race/Ethnicity)

Race/Ethnicity	Sex	# Applicants	# Selected	Scoring rate	Impact ratio
Asian	Female	262	125	47.7%	0.94
	Male	350	150	42.9%	0.84
Black Or African American	Female	523	266	50.9%	1.00
	Male	630	294	46.7%	0.92
Hispanic or Latino	Female	162	75	46.3%	0.91
	Male	196	88	44.9%	0.88
White	Female	440	213	48.4%	0.95
	Male	765	370	48.4%	0.95

Groups representing less than 2% of individuals are excluded from analysis. This includes the following groups for which no data is available:

- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- Native American or Alaska Native
- Two or more



Methodology

Methodology overview

Our methodology for evaluating AI systems is designed to ensure fairness and transparency. Our comprehensive approach includes ongoing auditing, multiple bias detection techniques, the use of diverse datasets, and human oversight.

Ongoing audits

Al systems change frequently (often monthly, weekly, or even daily). Our audits are performed on a regular basis at the frequency detailed in this report. The exact frequency is determined with the Al provider based on the nature of their system and their propensity for product updates.

In addition to the scheduled evaluations, the AI provider can also choose to have an audit performed on-demand between scheduled audits if they have a significant product update.

Adherence to NYC Local Law 144

Our bias auditing approach is in adherence with NYC Local Law 144 of 2022. While our full auditing framework goes beyond the requirements of this law, we also meet the specific requirements for conducting a bias audit of automated employment decision tools (AEDT) as published in the final rules of the NYC Department of Consumer and Worker Protection (DCWP).

Our Disparate Impact Analysis identifies any adverse impact on persons of protected groups separated by sex and race/ethnicity as mandated by the Local Law 144.



Methodology

Disparate impact analysis

Disparate Impact Analysis evaluates whether a protected demographic group is adversely affected compared to other groups.

We assessed the AI system using the guidance published by the NYC Department of Consumer and Worker Protection. As the tested system produces a binary score we've measured the impact ratio using the selection rate method.

Selection Rate

Selection rate is a measure used to evaluate the proportion of individuals in a specific group who receive favorable outcomes.

To calculate a group's selection rate, we divided the number of individuals that have completed the phone interview by the total number of individuals with the group.

Selection Rate =

Number of individuals within group that have completed the phone interview

Total number of individuals within group

Impact Ratio

The Impact Ratio is a metric used to measure potential adverse impact on a group by comparing its selection rate to the most selected group.

Selection rate for the group

Impact Ratio = Selection rate of the most selected group

An Impact Ratio of 1 indicates no adverse impact, whereas a lower ratio indicates a higher likelihood of adverse impact. According to the four-fifths rule, an Impact Ratio of 0.8 (80%) or higher is considered acceptable, indicating that the AI system's outcomes are equitable across different demographic groups.



Disclaimer

This AI Assurance Report has been prepared by Warden AI Ltd. to provide an independent audit of the AI system developed by the AI provider in question, based on our proprietary methodologies and datasets. The results and conclusions presented in this report reflect our best judgments derived from the information available at the time of evaluation. While we strive for accuracy and completeness, we cannot guarantee that our evaluation is exhaustive or that there are no errors.

Our methodology is designed to identify potential issues of bias and other trust factors in the AI system under examination. However, our approach, like any evaluation methodology, has its limitations. It is important to understand that our findings do not guarantee the absence of any bias, flaws, or limitations within the audited AI system. Instead, they indicate that, based on our specific testing framework and within the scope of our analysis, no significant issues were identified.

This report is intended for informational purposes only and should not be interpreted as a guarantee of the system's performance, fairness, or suitability for any specific purpose or use case. Warden AI Ltd. disclaims any liability for any decisions made or actions taken based on the information provided in this report. By using this report, the reader agrees to assume all risks associated with such decisions or actions and agrees to hold Warden AI Ltd. harmless against any claims, damages, or liabilities that may arise from the use of the evaluated AI system.





ConverzAl NYC LL 144 Audit Report